

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,174	08/29/2000	Robert A. Cordery	F-189	9744
919	7590 07/16/2003			
PITNEY BOWES INC. 35 WATERVIEW DRIVE P.O. BOX 3000			EXAMINER	
			HAYES, JOHN W	
MSC 26-22 SHELTON, CT 06484-8000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ	•		3621	
DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003			3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	T
Advisory Action	09/650,174	CORDERY ET AL.	7
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	John W Hayes	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avairal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandoners.	ation. A proper reply h places the applicati	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	- '		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ree have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. \$ R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	i.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>35 and 36</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. ☐ Other:		John W Hayes Primary Examiner	pes
Patent and Tondomod Office		/Art Unit: 3621	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has provided essentially the same arguments as provided in the response filed 14 march 2003. Examiner has addressed these arguments in the Final Rejection, mailed 8 May 2003 and, therefore, the application is not considered to be in condition for allowance..